

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,834	08/25/2003	Kazunori Anazawa	116924	1354
25944 OI IEE & DED	7590 02/22/2007 PIDGE PLC		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			MAYEKAR, KISHOR	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

/	
4	
7	
,	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/646,834	ANAZAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kishor Mayekar	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) □ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-9 is/are withdrawn f 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	,				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The Declaration** 13. **The Declaration** 14. **The Declaration** 15. **The Declaration** 16. **The Declaration** 17. **The Declaration** 18. **The Declaration** 19. **The Declaratio	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/03 & 10/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,834

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of invention of Group II, claims 10-18 in the reply filed on 30 November 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "a thorough search for the subject matter of one group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the other group of claims". This is not found persuasive because the record reflects that all of these groups are patentably distinct and have been propel considered.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and § 103

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments

Application/Control Number: 10/646,834

Art Unit: 1753

Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Loutfy et al. (US 7,042,667). Loutfy's invention is directed to a RF plasma method for the production of carbon nanotubes. Loutfy discloses an arc discharge process for the production of carbon nanotubes comprises the recited steps of applying and generating where the electrodes are made from treated coal powder and the treated coal powder are made from the carbonization of coal and subsequently ground (Example 1 and col. 9, lines 9-50). Loutfy also discloses that the electrode made from treated coal with a high fixed carbon content and low volatile component will improve the yield of carbon nanotubes than that from the untreated coal

Application/Control Number: 10/646,834

Art Unit: 1753

(col. 3, lines 48-63). On one hand, since Loutfy discloses the use of electrodes made from untreated coal where the carbonization of the untreated coal result in carbon with voids (pores), Loutfy's untreated electrodes would possess some porosity due to the carbonization. On the other, since Loutfy discloses the use of the electrodes made from treated coal powder with a binder, pressed into rod and carbonized at 1000 °C, the treated electrodes would possess some porosity due to its carbonization with the binder (a low volatile component). As such, it appears that the above claims are anticipated by or render obvious by Loutfy's teachings.

As to the subject matter of claim 11, it is inherently in Loutfy's carbonization of the rods.

As to the subject matter of claim 12, the selection of known equivalent coals would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

As to the subject matter of each of claims 13-15, it has been held that the disclosure in the prior art of any value within the claimed range is an anticipation of that range and a prima facie case of obviousness exists in the case where the claimed range overlaps or touches range disclosed by the prior art, *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90.

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loutfy '667 in view of JP 2002-356316 A (provided with a machine translation). The difference between Loutfy as applied above and the instant claim is the provision of the recited

Art Unit: 1753

forming step. JP '316 shows the limitation (see abstract). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Loutfy as shown BY JP '316 because this would result in improving the yield of carbon nanotubes.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (571) 272-1339. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1753